

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/831,417		05/09/2001	` <del></del>	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4222	
•		03/07/2001	John Canning	CU-2503-RJS		
26530	7590	07/16/2003				
LADAS &	PARR'	Y				
224 SOUT	н місні	IGAN AVENUE,	EXAMINER			
CHICAGO	, IL 606	604	KIANNI, KAVEH C			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2877		
				DATE MAIL FD: 07/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Sh.
		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
. Office Action Summary			31,417	CANNING ET AL.	
	•	Exam		Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	Kevin	C Kianni	2877	
	• •				dress
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (; period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In numerication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply a	no event, however, may a re e statutory minimum of thirty nd will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely	r. mmunication.
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(a) 5	lad 00 /			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) fi This action is <b>FINAL</b> .				
3)□		2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.		
, —	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practon of Claims	n for allowance exc tice under <i>Ex parte</i>	cept for formal matte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is
4)⊠ (	Claim(s) <u>22-24</u> is/are pending in the	application.			
	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22-24</u> is/ar		Consideration		
5) (	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		onsideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) <u>22-24</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	rominous I		
Applicatio	n Papers	ion and/or election	requirement.		
9)∐ Tł	ne specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10)[] Th	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	Objected to by the	Evenin	
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing	s) he held in chavene	. 0. 07.0	
11)⊠ Th	ne proposed drawing correction filed	on 20 June 2003 i	s: a) X approved by	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	" approved, corrected drawings are requ	uired in reply to this (	Office action	usapproved by the E	xaminer.
12)[] Th	e oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner.	emoc dodon.		
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim f	Or foreign priority	ındar 35 II C O o c	10(-) ( ) :-	
a)⊠	All b) Some * c) None of:	e.g., priority u	muer 33 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
	Certified copies of the priority de	Ocuments have ba	on rooms		
2.	Certified copies of the priority do	Ocumente have be	en received.		
3.[	Copies of the certified copies of	the priority document	en received in Appli	cation No	
* See	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internate the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the cert	ified conies not reco	aived	
14) LI ACKI	nowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. 8 1	19(e) /to a provision -1	
, —	] The translation of the foreign langun nowledgment is made of a claim for	IZOA DEOMICIONAL A	amiinat:		plication).
Notice of Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	9-948) er No(s)	4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-15	
atent and Tradem -326 (Rev. 04	L-01)	Office Action Summer			

Application/Control Number: 09/831,417

Art Unit: 2877

### Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 22-24 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group (I) claims12-21 that have been cancelled directed to an optical device wherein the device comprises an optical device and a material which absorbs a predetermined wavelength of light in which the localized heating causes changes in optical properties of a region of the waveguide. While the newly submitted claims 22-24 (Group II), are directed to an optical device having a material in contact with the waveguide defining an interface in which the transfer heating causes permanent change in the optical properties at the interface; and while the newly submitted claim 24 (Group III), a different species than Group I (claims 12-21) and Group II (claims 22-24), is directed to a substrate formed on the contact area between the waveguide and the substrate defining an interface, the substrate being arranged to absorb the predetermined wavelength of light to cause localized heating at the interface.

If claims 12-21 would have been originally presented in the application applicant would have been required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

If claims 12-21 would have been originally presented in the application applicant would have been applicant would have been advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this

Application/Control Number: 09/831,417

Art Unit: 2877

requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant would have been entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must have indicated which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

If claims 12-21 would have been originally presented in the application and applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, then applicant could submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 22-24 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

The amendment filed on 6/20/2003 canceling all claims drawn to the elected invention and presenting only claims drawn to a non-elected invention is non-responsive

Application/Control Number: 09/831,417

Art Unit: 2877

(MPEP § 821.03). The remaining claims are not readable on the elected invention because of the stated reason above.

Since the above-mentioned amendment appears to be a *bona fide* attempt to reply, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this notice within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a) ARE AVAILABLE.

## Response to Arguments and Amendment

2. Applicant's argument filed on 20 June 2003 have been fully considered but since the newly filed claims 22-24 are restricted by original presentation as described above applicant's arguments regarding the allowability of these claims can not be considered by the examiner at present action.

Art Unit: 2877

Page 5

#### **Contact Information**

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Cyrus Kianni whose telephone number is (703) 308-1216.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font, can be reached at (703) 308-4881.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 308-7722, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 308-7721, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Kevin Cyrus Kianni Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2877 Frank Font

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2877

July 9, 2003